

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5
- 6
- 7
- 8
- 9
- 10
- 11
- 12
- 13
- 14
- 15
- 16
- 17
- 18
- 19
- 20
- 21
- 22
- 23
- 24
- 25

The Examiner states that, currently, claims 21 and 26 appear to be generic. The Examiner further advises that a reply to the restriction requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with the requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added.

The Applicants hereby elect without traverse Species III, wherein the form factor is a DLT. The Applicant contends that the following claims read on the elected species: claims 21, 24, 26-28, and 31.

The Applicant believes that the election of species along with the listing of claims readable thereon, as set forth herein above, constitutes a full and complete response to the Office action, and the Applicant furthermore requests timely allowance of claims 21, 24, 26-28, and 31.

Date: September 03, 2004

Application S/N: 10/788,543
Docket Number: 10004377-4
Response to Restriction Requirement